Mild Negishi Cross-Coupling Reactions Catalyzed by Acenaphthoimidazolylidene Palladium Complexes at Low Catalyst Loadings

Zelong Liu, Ningning Dong, Mizhi Xu, Zheming Sun, and Tao Tu*

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai, 200433, [P.](#page-7-0) R. China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [Considering t](#page-7-0)hat the strong σ -donor property of ylidenes derived from π -extended imidazolium salts is conducive to increasing the catalytic activity of the resulting palladium N-heterocyclic carbene complexes, robust acenaphthoimidazol-ylidene palladium complexes 3a−c with varying bulky substituted groups were prepared from the corresponding acenaphthoimidazolium chlorides by heating with PdCl₂ and

K₂CO₃ in neat 3-chloropyridine in satisfactory yields. Even at a catalyst loading as low as 0.25 mol %, complex 3a exhibited extremely high catalytic activity toward Negishi cross-coupling of alkylzinc reagents with a wide range of (hetero)aryl halides under mild reaction conditions within 30 min. Besides a great number of bromoarenes, various less expensive and inactive (hetero)aryl chlorides were coupled successfully with the alkyl- and arylzinc reagents, in which active functional groups (such as -NH2) were well tolerated even in one-pot dicoupling transformations without protection. In addition, in the case of coupling with secondary alkylzinc reagents, undesired β -hydride elimination leading to isomerized linear products was efficaciously suppressed. The catalyst system also displayed superiority in the construction of heterobiaryls through the coupling of heteroarylzinc reagents and heterocylic chloroarenes which were hardly accessible from the corresponding organoboron reagents by Suzuki-coupling reactions. Therefore, the protocol described in this paper represents a mild, general, and scalable approach to access various structurally intriguing and functionalized (hetero)aryls.

■ INTRODUCTION

Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions constitute practical and powerful methods for construction of C−C and C−N bonds with wide applications in organic synthesis, functional materials, and pharmaceuticals.¹ Although the reactivity of organozinc reagents is lower than that of Grignard and organolithium analogues, from [a s](#page-7-0)ynthetic perspective, Negishi coupling is still regarded as a preferred option and has been utilized extensively in the synthesis of natural products due to its high functional-group tolerance.^{2,3} Ever since the first general protocol of aryl and vinyl chlorides for Pd-catalyzed Negishi coupling reactions was report[ed](#page-7-0) by Fu and co-workers,⁴ a great number of catalytic procedures have been successfully developed.³ In recent [y](#page-7-0)ears, research efforts have mainly focused on coupling reactions of aryl halides with sp^2 - and sp^3 hybridized [o](#page-7-0)rganic zinc compounds; among them, the coupling between secondary alkylzinc halides and sterically congested or heterocyclic substrates remains one of the most challenging tasks, especially at low catalyst loadings.⁵

In general, increasing the steric hindrance of the ligands is considered to be not only a useful practi[ca](#page-7-0)l strategy to suppress the undesired β -hydride elimination to form isomerized linear products in the couplings with secondary alkylzinc halides but also an efficient tactic in the ligand design to access sterically hindered ortho-substituted biaryls.⁶ For example, Buchwald and Knochel groups recently reported efficient Pd-catalyzed coupling of secondary alkylzin[c](#page-7-0) halides with bromo- and chloroarenes by using the bulky ligand 1a (CPhos) (Scheme 1) under quite mild conditions, although the isomerized products

Scheme 1. A Selection of Representative Ligands and Complexes in Pd-Catalyzed Negishi Cross-Coupling **Reactions**

formed by β -hydride elimination could not be completely eliminated (the ratio of branched and linear products is up to 58:1).⁷ When 1b (SPhos) and 1c (RuPhos) were applied instead, a variety of sterically bulky biaryls were also accessible. Inspir[ed](#page-7-0) by the "flexible steric bulky" concept proposed by Glorius and co-workers,^{6c} Organ and co-workers successfully accomplished these couplings with palladium N-heterocyclic

Received: April 26, 2013 Published: July 8, 2013

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Pd−NHC Complexes 3a−c

carbene (NHC) complexes $2a$, $2b$, and $2c$ (Scheme 1).⁸ By slightly modifying complex 2a to its isopentyl analogues 2b and 2c, they finally revealed a better performance with 2c i[n](#page-7-0) the Negishi cross-coupling reactions with either secondary [alk](#page-0-0)yzinc halides or ortho-substituted substrates. Despite these advances, in most reports of the Negishi cross-coupling reactions, prolonged reaction time, elevated temperature, and high catalyst loadings (usually 1−5 mol %) are still required, especially for challenging sterically congested or heterocyclic substrates, 7 which highlights the urgency for developing both more general and more mild catalyst systems.

Recentl[y,](#page-7-0) we found that less-studied ylidenes derived from π extended arylimidazolium salts exhibited stronger σ -donor and weaker π -acceptor properties, which can further increase the electron density of the metal center and result in better catalytic activity than their imidazolium analogues.⁹ It provides another opportunity to tune the catalyst activity by alternating the electronic properties of ligands along [wi](#page-7-0)th increasing their bulkiness. For instance, robust Pd−NHC complexes 3a−c turned out to be highly efficient and practical catalysts in the sterically hindered Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and amination reactions.^{9b,c} Following our recent research on the synthesis of metal complexes and their potential application in soft materials and cata[lysis](#page-7-0), $9,10$ we would like to further explore how to influence catalytical activities of the palladium N-heterocyclic carbene (Pd[−](#page-7-0)[NH](#page-7-0)C) complexes in Negishi coupling reactions by using ylidenes with strong σ -donor and weak π -acceptor properties.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Palladium complexes 3a−c were readily accessible in good yields from the corresponding acenaphthoimidazolium salts by heating with $PdCl₂$ and $K₂CO₃$ in neat 3-chloropyridine with vigorous stirring at 90 °C for 24 h (Scheme 2). The π -extended imidazolium salts 5a−c were synthesized as follows:¹¹ acenaphthenequinone was suspended in acetonitrile and was heated under reflux conditions for 1 h. After acetic acid [was](#page-7-0) added, the resulting reaction mixture was heated until the

acenaphthenequinone had been completely dissolved. Then the corresponding aniline was added dropwise over 30 min, and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux conditions for another 5 h to produce imines 4a−c in good yields. These were readily converted to the corresponding imidazolium chlorides 5a−c by mixing with methoxy (methyl)chloride in the sealed reaction vessel at 100 °C for 16 h.

In consideration of the possible product isomerization resulting from secondary alkylzinc reagents, initially, cyclopentylzinc bromide was selected to optimize the coupling reaction conditions with methyl 4-chlorobenzoate. In contrast with 3b and 3c, Pd−NHC complex 3a with bulkier isopropyl groups revealed a higher catalytic activity, and almost identical isolated yields were observed in dioxane within 0.5 h at room temperature even in the presence of catalyst loadings as low as 0.25 mol % (entries 1−5, Table 1), which further proved that the bulkiness of the ligand is essential during the catalytic transformation.^{6c} Further decrea[sin](#page-2-0)g the catalyst loading to 0.1 and 0.025 mol %, the reactions still afforded 70% and 32% yields, respecti[ve](#page-7-0)ly, whereas no reaction was observed in the blank test (entries 6−8, Table 1). When other solvents such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene were involved, slightly lower results were observed wi[th](#page-2-0) 0.25 mol % 3a (71% and 52%, entries 9 and 10, respectively, Table 1). In the case of dimethylformamide (DMF), only trace products could be detected by GC−MS analysis (entry [11](#page-2-0), Table 1), which indicated strong solvent effects in the coupling process. The protocol also applies to coupling with aryl iodide an[d](#page-2-0) bromide analogues (entries 12−15, Table 1). In the bromide case, even with a 50 ppm catalyst loading, a 12% yield was still obtained (entry 15, Table 1). In compariso[n](#page-2-0) with the results obtained by other well-known catalysts derived from commerically available bulky monophos[p](#page-2-0)hinobiaryl ligands (1b and 1c, entries 16 and 17, respectively, Table 1) and NHC ligands (2a and 2b, entries 18 and 19, respectively, Table 1) under the optimized condition, complex 3a still exhibited a comparatively higher catalytic activity. Even [u](#page-2-0)nder the [op](#page-2-0)timal reaction conditions for the Negishi cross-coupling reactions with these four ligands reported in the literature, $7a$ ^{3b} up to 96% GC yields were

Table 1. Condition Screening and Optimization^a

	COOMe + ZnBrLiCl	[cat.] Solvent rt. 1 h.	6	COOMe
entry	[cat.] (mol %)	X	solvent	yield $(\%)^b$
$\mathbf{1}$	3a(1)	Cl	dioxane	>99
2	3b(1)	Cl	dioxane	2^c
3	3c(1)	Cl	dioxane	trace ^c
$\overline{4}$	3a(0.5)	Cl	dioxane	>99
5	3a(0.25)	Cl	dioxane	98
6	3a(0.1)	Cl	dioxane	70°
7	3a(0.025)	Cl	dioxane	32^c
8	blank	Cl.	dioxane	NR^{c}
9	3a(0.25)	Cl	THF	71 ^c
10	3a(0.25)	Cl	toluene	52^c
11	3a(0.25)	Cl	DMF	trace ^c
12	3a(0.25)	T	dioxane	35 ^c
13	3a(0.25)	Br	dioxane	99
14	3a(0.02)	Br	dioxane	64
15	3a(0.005)	Br	dioxane	12^c
16	1b (0.5) + Pd (OAc) ₂ (0.25)	Cl	toluene	64^c /trace ^d
17	1c (0.5) + Pd (OAc) ₂ (0.25)	Cl	toluene	$88^c/81^{c,d}$
18	2a(0.25)	Cl	toluene	$57^c/50^{c,d}$
19	2b(0.25)	Cl	toluene	$97^e/96^{d,e}$
20	3a(0.25)	Cl	dioxane	99^f
21	3a(0.25)	Cl	dioxane	948

 $a_{0.5}$ mmol scale at rt for 1 h. ^bIsolated yield. $c_{0.5}$ ⁴0.5 mmol scale at rt for 1 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^eGC yield after 6 h.
⁴With dioxane as solvent. ^eGC yield after 12 h. ^fAfter 30 min. ^gAfter 15 min.

observed after 12 h with a 0.25 mol % catalyst loading (entries 16−19, Table 1). To our delight, with 0.25 mol % of complex 3a, full conversion of the coupling process could be achieved within 30 min, which was further confirmed by GC−MS analysis (entries 20−21, Table 1).

Delightedly, the optimized conditions were suitable for the couplings of cyclopentylzinc bromide with a broad range of (hetero)aryl bromides (Scheme 3). The relative position of substituents hardly hampered the process, and all resulted in similarly excellent isolated yields (7a−c and 10a−c). Electronpoor substituents were much more favorable than electron-rich ones (7−9 vs 10−12). In the latter case, the reactions were performed at 80 °C for 24 h with 1 mol % 3a with additional N-methylimidazole (NMI) as an additive to achieve excellent isolated yields (up to 99%, 10 and 11).¹² Even with 0.25 mol % 3a, mono-ortho-substituted and N-heterocyclic bulky bromoarenes were well tolerated and resulte[d](#page-7-0) in up to quantitative yields (12, 13, 16, and 17). For highly steric disubstituted substrates, the reaction conditions aforementioned for electronrich bromoarenes had to be applied to obtain gratifying results (14a, 14b, and 15). In addition, free amino groups did not impede the transformation even for a one-pot dicoupling process (18), which nowadays is still regarded as an intriguing and challenging task.¹³ In addition, this protocol could be readily scaled up, and a 92% isolated yield was present in a 10 g (60 mmol) reaction [wit](#page-7-0)h 0.25 mol % of complex 3a for 24 h (7a, Scheme 3), indicating the practical applicability of the protocol.

With these results in hand, a variety of less expensive $(hetero)$ aryl chlorides¹⁴ were then examined. As shown in Scheme 4, the protocol was applicable to each of the selected chloroarenes. Again, [ele](#page-7-0)ctron-withdrawing groups were more Scheme 3. Negishi Cross-Coupling Reactions of Cyclopentylzinc Bromide with Various Bromoarenes a

^a0.5 mmol scale at rt for 0.5 h. b^{lsolated yield. ^c60 mmol scale at rt for 24 h. d GC yield, with 0.05 mol % 3a at 80 °C for 24 h. eWith 1 mol % 3a at 80 \degree C for 24 h in the presence of 0.6 mmol of NMI. \degree With 2 mol % 3a, 3 equiv of alkylzinc reagent, and 0.6 mmol of NMI at 80 °C for 24 h.

 a 0.5 mmol scale at rt for 0.5 h. b Isolated yield. c GC yield. d With 1 mol % complex 3a and 0.6 mmol of NMI at 80 °C for 12 h. ^eContaining 10% 2-isomer. *f* After 6 h. ^gWith 2 mol % 3a, 3 equiv of alkylzinc reagent and 0.6 mmol of NMI at 80 °C for 6 h.

efficient than their electron-donating analogues and resulted in almost quantitative yields (7−9 vs 12, Scheme 4). To our surprise, unlike aryl bromides, sterically more hindered aryl chlorides such as 1-chloronaphthalene and 9-chloroanthracene were easily coupled even at ambient conditions, whereas the other bulky substrates resulted in worse yields even with 1 mol % catalyst loading at 80 °C after longer reaction times (13 and 19 vs 12 and 15). In addition, a number of N-heterocyclic halides were well tolerated substrates and afforded up to quantitative yields (20−22). Delightedly, dicoupling reactions in the one-pot manner were accomplished within 6 h at 80 $\,^{\circ}$ C,

which further emphasized the broad scope of the protocol (23) and 24).

Encouraged by the excellent outcomes with a broad range of (hetero)aryl halides, we turned our attention to probe the scope of other alkyl and arylzinc reagents. The results are compiled in Scheme 5. For primary alkylzinc halides, the length

Scheme 5. Negishi Cross-Coupling Reactions of Chloroarenes with Various Alkyl and Arylzinc Reagents^{a}

 $a_{0.5}$ mmol scale at rt for 0.5 h. b Isolated yield. c With NMI as additive; B/L ratio was determined by GC−MS. A ⁴At 80 °C for 24 h. ^eFor 12 h. f_{NMI} was added.

of the alkyl chain and phenyl substitution scarcely disturbed the coupling process resulting in up to quantitative yields (25−27). For secondary organic zinc reagents with isopropyl and isobutyl substituents, quantitative yields and a high selectivity for branched products were observed (28−30). The ring size of the cycloalkylzinc bromides barely affected the coupling process (31 vs 7c). However, N-heterocyclic alkylzinc bromide led to a slightly lower yield (78%, 32). In the case of arylzinc reagents, sterically bulky ortho-substitution was well tolerated (up to

98% yields, 34−36). Unlike the chloroarenes containing electron-withdrawing groups, only moderate yields were observed for the substrates with electron-donating substituents with 0.25 mol % 3a at 80 °C for 24 h (34b and 36).

In light of the significant role played by heterocyclic compounds in material sciences and medicinal chemistry,¹⁵ we paid further attention to the heteroaryl substrates (Scheme 6). At first, 3-chloropyridine was selected to react with phe[nyl](#page-7-0) zinc reagent with 0.25 mol % 3a at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, and a satisfactory isolated yield was observed (92%, 37). Because the preparation of polyfluorinated heterocyclic biaryls constitutes one of the challenging tasks in synthesis, 16 2,6-difluorophenyl zinc bromide was used, and a moderate yield was obtained at 60 °C within 6 h (83%, 38). Following our [re](#page-7-0)cent interest in the sterically hindered cross-coupling reactions,⁹ several (bulky) Nheterocylic chlorides were tested in the coupling of bulky phenyl zinc reagents, and up to 96% yields [w](#page-7-0)ere obtained (39− 42). Because of their rapid proto-deboronation, five-membered heteroaromatic organo-boron reagents are considered poor substrates in the Suzuki cross-coupling to furnish heterobiaryls.^{17,18} To our delight, with our catalyst system, 2-(benzo)furanylzinc and benzothiophenylzinc chlorides were able to [cou](#page-7-0)ple with various N-heterocylic substrates, and up to 94% yields of heterobiaryls were obtained (43−51). In addition, except for pyridylzinc chlorides (81−91%, 43−46), 1 mol % catalyst loading was required to achieve the satisfactory conversion (69−94%, 47-51).

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, Pd−NHC complex 3a exhibits an extremely high activity toward the Negishi cross-coupling reactions of alkyl or (hetero)arylzinc reagents with various (hetero)aryl halides under mild reaction conditions with catalyst loading at 0.25 mol %. Not only was the undesired β -hydride elimination to form isomerized linear products successfully suppressed in the coupling with secondary alkylzinc halides, but also the challenging sterically congested coupling with sterically bulky arylzinc chlorides as well as the syntheses of functionalized heterobiaryls were realized, which may give the credit to the

Scheme 6. Negishi Cross-Coupling Reactions of Heterocylic Chloroarenes with Various (Hetero)arylzinc Reagents^a

^a0.5 mmol scale at 80 °C for 24 h. ^bIsolated yield. 'With 1 mol % 3a at 60 °C for 6 h. ^dWith 1 mol % 3a.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article 30 and 200 an

strong σ -donor of the acenaphtho-ring and the bulkiness of the isopropyl groups of complex 3a. Although, in the cases of substrates containing electron-donating groups or challenging bulky and heterocylic substrates, 1 mol % catalyst loading and higher reaction temperature are required, the results still imply that it is a useful strategy to tune the catalyst activity, increase the bulkiness of the catalysts, and modify the electronic properties of the ligands.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All commercial reagents and solvents were used directly as purchased without further purification. Anhydrous THF, 1,4-dioxane, and toluene were distilled from sodium/benzophenone prior to use. Zinc dust was activated by washing with 1 M HCl followed by EtOH and Et₂O. All 13 C NMR spectra were referenced to the carbon signal of CDCl₃ (77.0) ppm) and DMSO (39.4 ppm), and they were obtained with complete ¹H decoupling. The chemical shifts (δ) for ¹H NMR spectra were given in parts per million (ppm) referenced to the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvents (CHCl₃ at δ = 7.26 ppm and DMSO at δ = 2.50 ppm); coupling constants were expressed in hertz (Hz).

Pd–NHC Complexes 3a–c. To a Schlenk tube containing PdCl₂ (0.088 g, 0.5 mmol), acenaphthoimidazolium chlorides (0.55 mmol), $K₂CO₃$ (0.345 g, 5.0 mmol), 3-chloropyridine (2.0 mL), and a stir bar were added. The reaction mixture was heated with vigorous stirring at 90 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and passed through a short pad of silica gel covered with a pad of Celite eluting with $CH₂Cl₂$ until the product was completely recovered. Most of the CH_2Cl_2 was removed (rotary evaporator) at room temperature, and 3-chloropyridine was then vacuum-distilled (water aspirator vacuum) and saved for reuse. The pure Pd−NHC complexes 3a−c were isolated after triturating with pentane, decanting the supernatant, and drying in high vacuum.

Pd−NHC 3a. Yield: 0.305 g, 76%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.68 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.61 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 4H), 7.34 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 7.32 Hz, 2H), 3.45−3.35 (m, 4H), 1.46 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 12H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 159.1, 150.5, 149.5, 147.2, 140.3, 137.3, 133.8, 131.8, 130.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.1, 127.2, 126.0, 124.7, 124.2, 122.1, 28.9, 25.8, 24.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M - PyCl - 2Cl]^+$ calcd for $C_{37}H_{40}N_2Pd$ 617.2242; found, 617.2163.

Pd−NHC **3b**. Yield: 0.611 g, 81%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 8.65$ (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (s, 4H), 7.11− 7.06 (m, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 24H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 156.1, 150.6, 149.7, 139.4, 138.8, 137.6, 136.2, 133.7, 132.0, 129.7, 129.3, 128.2, 127.7, 125.7, 124.4, 120.9, 21.5, 19.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M - Cl]^+$ calcd for $C_{36}H_{32}Cl_2N_3Pd$ 684.1012; found, 684.1036.

Pd−NHC 3c. Yield: 0.319 g, 51%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.74 (d, J = 2.4 Hz,1H), 8.65 (d, J = 5.2 Hz,1H), 8.31 (d, J = 8 Hz,4H), 7.78–7.61 (m, 9H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.6 Hz,2H), 7.37 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (dd, $J = 8.0$ and 5.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 150.2, 149.2, 138.5, 138.2, 137.8, 137.8, 132.4, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.5, 127.4, 126.4, 125.3, 124.7, 124.6, 121.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M - Cl]^+$ calcd for $C_{30}H_{20}Cl_2N_3Pd$ 600.0073; found, 600.0054.

General Procedure for Preparation of Secondary Alkylzinc Halides.¹⁹ A 100 mL Schlenk tube was charged with zinc dust $(3.9 g,$ 60 mmol) and LiCl (2.53 g, 60 mmol). It was heated for about 10 min under va[cu](#page-7-0)um with a heat gun and backfilled with N_2 after cooling to room temperature. Dry THF (30 mL) and 1,2-dibromoethane (1.5 mmol) were added to the vessel under N_2 . The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 20 min. Then trimethylsilyl chloride (0.3 mmol) and a solution of iodine in THF (0.3 mL, 0.5 M) were added via syringe. The resulting mixture was heated at 60 $^{\circ}{\rm C}$ for another 20 min and then cooled to room temperature. Alkyl halide (30 mmol) was

added slowly, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for about 20 h. The new prepared organozinc halides were rested for 1 h at room temperature, and then the supernatant solution was transferred to a dry vessel via cannula carefully. The solution of organozinc halide was titrated with a 0.5 M solution of iodine in THF.²

General Procedure for Negishi Couplings of Aryl Halides and Alkyl Zinc Halides. A $\bar{50}$ mL Schlenk tu[be](#page-7-0) containing a magnetic stir bar was vacuumed and backfilled with N_2 (three times). The vessel was charged with catalyst 3a, N-methylimidazole (0.6 mmol, if needed) and dioxane (1 mL). The aryl halide (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv) was added under N_2 . Alkyl zinc halide (ca. 0.5 M in THF, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was then added dropwise at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5−6 h or at 80 °C for 12 h, and the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature (if heated), quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution, and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The organic phases were combined, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated in vacuo followed by silica gel flash chromatography.

General Procedure for the Preparation of (Hetero)aryl Zinc Halides and Negishi Cross-Couplings of Aryl Halides and Aryl Zinc Halides. A 50 mL Schlenk tube containing a magnetic stir bar was evacuated and backfilled with $N₂$ (3 times). The vessel was charged with aryl bromides (0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and dry THF (1 mL). The solution was cooled to −78 °C, and the n-butyllithium (0.825 mmol, 1.65 equiv) was added dropwise. It was stirred at −78 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, and then ZnCl₂ (0.9 mmol, 1.8 equiv) was added in one portion under N₂. After another 30 min at -78 °C, the Schlenk tube was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly and stirred for 1 h. The solution was added to a 50 mL Schlenk tube containing precatalyst 3a, aryl halides (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), and 1 mL dioxane at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred in a preheated oil bath at 100 or 80 °C for about 24 or 12 h, and the reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched with water (3 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 10 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated in vacuo followed by silica gel flash chromatography.

Analytical Data of the Compounds 6−51. Methyl 4-Cyclopentylbenzoate, **6**. Colorless oil. Yield: 103 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.95 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.10−2.95 (m, 1H), 2.07 (m, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.60−1.59 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 167.1, 152.1, 129.5, 127.6, 127.0, 51.8, 45.9, 34.4, 25.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}O_2$ 205.1229; found, 205.1237. 2-Cyclopentylbenzonitrile, 7a. 86 colorless oil. Yield: 86 mg, >99%.
¹H NMB (400 MHz, CDCL, 298 K): δ = 7.58 (d, I = 7.2 Hz, 1H) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.58 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J [=](#page-7-0) 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26−7.22 (m, 1H), 3.41 (quintet, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.25−2.05 (m, 2H), 1.95−1.55 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 150.5, 132.8, 126.5, 126.2, 118.4, 112.3, 44.0, 34.3, 25.7; MS: $m/z = 171$ [M]⁺, 143, 129, 115, 102.

3-Cyclopentylbenzonitrile, **7b**.²¹ Colorless oil. Yield: 87 mg, >99%.
¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCL, 298 K): δ = 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.46 (m, 2H). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.36 (m, 1H), 2.91−3.09 (m, 1[H\),](#page-7-0) 2.08 (m, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 147.9, 131.7, 130.6, 129.3, 128.9, 119.1, 112.1, 45.4, 34.3, 25.3.

4-Cyclopentylbenzonitrile, $7c^{22}$ Colorless oil. Yield: 86 mg, >99%.
¹H NMB (400 MHz, CDCL, 298 K): $\delta = 754$ (d, I – 72 Hz, 2H) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.54 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.10−[2.95](#page-8-0) (m, 1H), 2.08 (m, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 152.2, 131.9, 127.8, 119.1, 109.2, 45.9, 34.3, 25.4.

1-Cyclopentyl-4-fluorobenzene, 8a.²³ Colorless oil. Yield: 82 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.25–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.01 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.08−2.80 [\(m](#page-8-0), 1H), 2.05−2.15 (m, 2H), 1.70−1.85 (m, 2H), 1.60−1.70 (m, 2H), 1.50−1.60 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 162.3, 159.9, 142.0, 128.3$, 128.2, 114.9, 114.7, 45.2, 34.7, 25.4.

1-Cyclopentyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene, 8b.²² Colorless oil. Yield: 107 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.54 $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.09-3.01 (m, 1H), 2.09$ $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.09-3.01 (m, 1H), 2.09$ $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 3.09-3.01 (m, 1H), 2.09$

(m, 2H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.61 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 150.8, 127.4, 125.2, 125.1, 45.6, 34.9, 25.5.

Ethyl 4-Cyclopentylbenzoate, 9a. Colorless oil. Yield: 109 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.05−2.94 (m, 1H), 2.08−1.98 (m, 2H), 1.84−1.71 (m, 2H), 1.69−1.61 (m, 2H), 1.58−1.49 (m, 2H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 166.5, 151.9, 129.4, 127.9, 126.9, 60.6, 45.8, 34.4, 25.4, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z : $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}O_2Na$ 241.1204; found, 241.1168.

4-Cyclopentylbenzaldehyde, 9b.²⁴ Colorless oil. Yield: 87 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 9.95 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, J $= 8.0$ Hz, [2H](#page-8-0)), 7.38 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.08–3.02 (m, 1H), 2.09 (m, 2H), 1.83 (m, 2H), 1.71 (m, 2H), 1.62 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 191.9, 154.1, 134.4, 129.8, 127.8, 46.1, 34.4, 25.5.

1-Cyclopentyl-2-methylbenzene, 10a.²⁵ Colorless oil. Yield: 82 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.28–7.20 (m, 1H), 7.19−7.03 (m, 3H), 3.18 (quintet, J [= 8](#page-8-0).4 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.10−1.95 (m, 2H), 1.87−1.75 (m, 2H), 1.75−1.63 (m, 2H), 1.63− 1.51 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 144.5, 135.9, 130.0, 126.0, 125.4, 125.2, 41.6, 33.6, 25.6, 19.8; MS: $m/z = 160 \text{ [M]}^+$, , 131, 117, 91, 77.

1-Cyclopentyl-3-methylbenzene, 10b.²⁵ Colorless oil. Yield: 74 mg, 92%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.30–6.96 (m, 4H), 3.10−2.90 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.[15](#page-8-0)−2.00 (bs, 2H), 1.95−1.50 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 146.5, 137.7, 128.1, 127.8, 126.4, 124.1, 45.9, 34.6, 25.5, 21.5; MS: $m/z = 160 \text{ [M]}^+$, , 131, 117, 91, 77.

1-Cyclopentyl-4-methylbenzene, 10c.²³ Colorless oil. Yield: 75 mg, 93%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.20–7.05 (m, 4H), 3.02−2.88 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.[10](#page-8-0)−2.05 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.74 (m, 2H), 1.74−1.62 (m, 2H), 1.62−1.50 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 143.4, 135.1, 128.9, 127.0, 45.6, 34.7, 25.5, 21.0; MS: $m/z = 160$ [M]⁺, 131, 117, 91, 77.

1-Cyclopentyl-4-methoxybenzene, 11. ²⁶ Colorless oil. Yield: 83 mg, 94%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.17 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2[H\),](#page-8-0) 6.84 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.95 (quintet, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.15−1.95 (m, 2H), 1.85−1.74 (m, 2H), 1.74−1.62 (m, 2H), 1.61−1.46 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $δ = 157.7$, 138.6, 128.0, 113.7, 45.2, 34.8, 29.8, 25.5.

2-Cyclopentylbiphenyl, 12. Colorless oil. Yield: 112 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.60–7.35 (m, 7H), 7.35–7.27 (m, 2H), 3.24−3.08 (m, 1H), 2.10−1.95 (m, 2H), 1.95−1.80 (m, 2H), 1.80−1.55 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 144.3, 142.3, 141.9, 129.8, 129.3, 127.9, 127.6, 126.6, 126.2, 125.1, 41.6, 35.8, 26.0.

1-Cyclopentylnaphthalene, ¹³. Colorless oil. Yield: 89 mg, >99%. ¹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.83−7.74 (m, 1H), 7.65−7.40 (m, 4H), 3.95−3.78 (m, 1H), 2.38−2.18 (m, 2H), 2.05−1.70 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 142.1, 133.9, 132.2, 128.7, 126.2, 125.5, 125.4, 125.2, 123.9, 121.9, 41.2, 33.6, 25.3.

1-Cyclopentyl-2-methoxynaphthalene, 14a. Light yellow oil. Yield: 81 mg, 71%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 8.19$ $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.76 (d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 7.51 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.18−4.04 (m, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 2.25−2.12 (m, 2H), 2.12−1.96 (m, 4H), 1.92−1.78 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 155.0, 133.0, 129.6, 128.9, 127.5, 127.2, 125.7, 123.6, 123.0, 114.3, 56.5, 36.7, 31.8, 27.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₉O 227.1436; found, 227.1436.

1-Cyclopentyl-2-isopropoxynaphthalene, 14b. Yellow oil. Yield: 68 mg, 53%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H,), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.75− 4.57 (m, 1H), 4.14−3.97 (m, 1H), 2.25−2.08 (m, 2H), 2.08−1.85 (m, 4H), 1.85−1.70 (m, 2H), 1.37(d, J = 5.6 Hz, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 153.0, 133.1, 129.7, 128.8, 127.9, 127.3, 125.5, 123.8, 122.9, 116.5, 71.1, 36.7, 31.6, 27.5, 22.4.

2-Cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethylbenzene, 15.²⁷ Colorless oil. Yield: 87 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.03 (s, 3H), 3.62−3.48 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 8H), 1.94 [\(bs](#page-8-0), 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 141.6, 136.5, 129.2, 125.4, 40.5, 30.9, 27.0, 21.4.

3-Cyclopentylquinoline, $16.^{22}$ Yellow oil. Yield: 91 mg, 92%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.82 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.06 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.90 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.73 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.65-7.57$ $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.90 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.73 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.65-7.57$ $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.90 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.73 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.65-7.57$ (m, 1H), 7.52−7.44 (m, 1H), 3.21−3.09 (m, 1H), 2.20−2.09 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.80 (m, 2H), 1.80−1.60 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 151.4, 146.7, 138.8, 132.3, 128.9, 128.3, 128.1, 127.3, 126.4, 43.3, 34.2, 25.4.

tert-Butyl 4-(6-cyclopentylpyridin-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate, $17.8b$ Light yellow solid. Yield: 151 mg, 91%. 1 $'$ Light yellow solid. Yield: 151 mg, 91%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.[42 \(](#page-7-0)d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (s, 8H), 3.10–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.10–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.70 (m, 4H), 1.70−1.55 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s,9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 164.1, 158.8, 154.8, 137.6, 111.5, 104.0, 79.9, 47.6, 45.1, 33.2, 28.4, 25.8.

2,6-Dicyclopentylaniline, 18. 8b Orange oil. Yield: 105 mg, 92%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 6.95$ (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.67 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (bs, 2H[\), 3](#page-7-0).05−2.85 (m, 2H), 2.04−1.88 (m, 4H), 1.80−1.66 (m, 4H), 1.66−1.48 (m, 8H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 141.9, 130.0, 123.5, 118.0, 40.1, 32.2, 25.1.

1-(4-Cyclopentylphenyl)ethanone, 9c.²⁸ Yellow oil. Yield: 95 mg, 92%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.10−2.97 [\(m](#page-8-0), 1H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 2.15− 2.00 (m, 2H), 1.86−1.77 (m, 2H), 1.74−1.66 (m, 2H), 1.64−1.52 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 197.8, 152.5, 134.9, 128.4, 127.2, 45.9, 34.5, 26.5, 25.5.

9-Cyclopentylanthracene, 19. Orange oil. Yield: 123 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.40 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.35 $(s, 1H)$, 8.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (m, 4H), 4.75–4.55 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.26 (m, 4H), 2.01(m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 138.1, 131.9, 129.6, 129.5, 126.2, 124.9, 124.7, 124.5, 39.5, 33.6, 27.8.

3-Cyclopentylpyridine, 20a.²⁸ Yellow oil. Yield: 73 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.57 $(d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.23 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.90 - 3.05 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.06 \text{ (m, 2H)},$ $(d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.23 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.90 - 3.05 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.06 \text{ (m, 2H)},$ $(d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.23 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.90 - 3.05 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.06 \text{ (m, 2H)},$ 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.54 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 148.6, 146.8, 142.1, 135.1, 123.6, 43.2, 34.3, 25.3.

2-Cyclopentylpyrazine, 20b. Yellow oil. Yield: 65 mg, 87%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.44 (s, 2H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 3.30−3.00 (m, 1H), 2.15−1.95 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.55 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 161.1, 143.9, 143.8, 141.9, 45.1, 33.2, 25.8. HR-MS (ESI) m/z : [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₉H₁₃N₂ 149.1078; found, 149.1056.

2-Cyclopentyl-4-methylquinoline, 21.²⁹ Yellow oil. Yield: 106 mg, $>99\%$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, $J = 7.2$ $J = 7.2$ $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 3.40−3.20 (m, 1H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.16 (m, 2H), 1.88 (m, 4H), 1.74 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 165.7, 147.4, 144.0, 129.3, 128.8, 126.8, 125.3, 123.4, 120.6, 48.6, 33.4, 25.9, 18.7.

3-Cyclopentyl-6-phenylpyridazine, 22. White solid, mp 90.0−91.3 $^{\circ}$ C. Yield: 112 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.06 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50−7.38 (m, 3H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.50−3.30 (m, 1H), 2.25−2.05 (m, 2H), 1.95−1.80 (m, 4H), 1.80−1.70 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 165.2, 156.9, 136.2, 129.4, 128.6, 126.5, 125.6, 123.7, 45.5, 33.1, 25.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{15}H_{17}N_2$ 225.1391; found, 225.1363.

 $4,6$ -Dicyclopentylpyrimidine, 23. Yellow oil. Yield: 92 mg, 85%. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.99 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.12−2.90 (m, 2H), 2.10−1.90 (m, 4H), 1.85− 1.55 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 173.8, 158.3, 117.1, 47.2, 33.0, 25.9. HRMS (ESI) m/z : $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{21}N_2$ 217.1704; found, 217.1689.

2,6-Dicyclopentyl-4-methylnicotinonitrile, 24. Colorless oil. Yield: 103 mg, 81%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 6.89 (s, 1H),

3.68−3.52 (m, 1H), 3.18−3.05 (m, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.10−1.92 (m, 4H), 1.90−1.55 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 168.7, 168.2, 150.6, 120.0, 117.0, 106.1, 47.8, 45.7, 33.3, 33.0, 26.1, 25.9, 20.4. HRMS (ESI) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}N_2$ 255.1861; found, 255.1877.

4-Methylbenzonitrile, 25^{30} Colorless oil. Yield: 59 mg, >99%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.22 $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 2.38 $(s, 3H)$ $(s, 3H)$ $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298) K): δ = 143.5, 131.8, 129.7, 119.0, 109.0, 21.6.

4-Octylbenzonitrile, 26. 31 Colorless oil. Yield: 115 mg, >99%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.52 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.24 $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 2.63 $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 1.59 $(m, 2H)$, 1.35–1.15 (m, 10H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 148.5, 131.9, 129.1, 119.0, 109.3, 36.0, 31.7, 30.8, 29.2, 29.1, 22.5, 13.9.

 4 -Benzylbenzonitrile, 27. 32 Colorless oil. Yield: 96 mg, 99%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.57 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.38– 7.22 (m, 5H), 7.18 (d, J = [7.2](#page-8-0) Hz, 2H), 4.05 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 146.6, 139.2, 132.2, 129.5, 128.8, 128.6, 126.5, 118.9, 109.9, 41.8; MS: $m/z = 193$ [M]⁺, 165, 91.

4-iso-Propylbenzonitrile, 28a. 8b Colorless oil. Yield: 73 mg, >99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.57 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.04–2.86 (m, 1H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 154.3, 132.2, 127.2, 119.1, 109.5, 34.3, 23.5.

p-Cymene, 28b.³³ Colorless oil. Yield: 59 mg, 91%. ¹H NMR (400) MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ =7.30–7.25 (m, 4H), 3.10–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 1.41 [\(d](#page-8-0), $J = 7.6$ Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 145.8, 135.1, 129.0, 126.3, 33.7, 24.1, 20.9.

4-sec-Butylbenzonitrile, 29.³⁴ Colorless oil. Yield: 80 mg, >99%.
¹H NMB (400 MHz, CDCL, 298 K): δ – 7.56 (d, I – 7.6 Hz, 2H) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.72−[2.5](#page-8-0)5 (m, 1H), 1.66−1.49 (m, 2H), 1.22 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 0.79 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 153.4, 132.1, 127.8, 119.1, 109.6, 41.8, 30.7, 21.3, 12.0.

4-(1-Phenylethyl)benzonitrile, 30.^{8b} Orange oil. Yield: 104 mg, $>99\%$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.57 (dt, J = 8.4, 1.8) Hz, 2H), 7.36−7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27−[7.16](#page-7-0) (m, 3H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 151.8, 144.6, 132.2, 128.6, 128.4, 127.5, 126.5, 119.0, 109.8, 44.8, 21.3; MS: $m/z = 207$ [M]⁺, 192₁ 165, 77.

4-Cyclohexylbenzonitrile, $31.^{35}$ White solid. Yield: 92 mg, 99%. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.56 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.30 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.60-2.45 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 1.92-1.70 \text{ (m, 5H)}, 1.50 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.60-2.45 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 1.92-1.70 \text{ (m, 5H)}, 1.50 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 2.60-2.45 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 1.92-1.70 \text{ (m, 5H)}, 1.50-$ 1.15 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 153.4, 132.1, 127.6, 119.1, 109.5, 44.7, 33.9, 26.5, 25.8.

tert-Butyl 4-(4-cyanophenyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate, 32.³⁶ Colorless oil. Yield: 112 mg, 78%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.56 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.38−[4.13](#page-8-0) (m, 2H), 2.78 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (tt, J = 12, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (d, J $= 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.57 (qd, J = 12.4, 4 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 298 \text{ K}): \delta = 154.6, 151.0, 132.2, 127.5, 118.8,$ 110.1, 79.5, 42.7, 32.6, 28.3.

4-Phenylbenzonitrile, 33^{37} White solid. Yield: 84 mg, 94%. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.68 $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.59 \ (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H), 7.49 \ (t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H),$ 7.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³[C](#page-8-0) [N](#page-8-0)MR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 145.7, 139.2, 132.6, 129.1, 128.6, 127.7, 127.2, 118.9, 110.9.

4-Mesitylbenzonitrile, $34a^{38}$ White solid. Yield: 106 mg, 96%. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.97 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 2.35 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.99 \text{ (s, 6H)}; ^{13}\text{C NMR}$ $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.97 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 2.35 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.99 \text{ (s, 6H)}; ^{13}\text{C NMR}$ $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.97 \text{ (s, 2H)}, 2.35 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 1.99 \text{ (s, 6H)}; ^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 146.3, 137.4, 137.0, 135.1, 132.2, 130.2, 128.3, 118.8, 110.5, 20.9, 20.5.

4-Mesitylanisole, 34b. 39 White solid. Yield: 70 mg, 62%. $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.08–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.98–6.92 (m, 4H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.33 [\(s,](#page-8-0) 3H), 2.01 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 158.1, 143.2, 138.6, 136.4, 133.2, 130.3, 128.0, 113.7, 55.2, 21.0, 20.8.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)benzonitrile, 35. White solid, mp 138.4− 139.7 °C. Yield: 117 mg, 98%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ $= 7.67$ (dt, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (dt, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J $= 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.74 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 157.3$, 139.5, 131.9, 131.3, 129.8, 117.5, 110.2, 104.1, 55.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₃NO₂ 239.0946; found, 239.0963.

2,6-Dimethoxy-2'-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl, **36.⁴⁰ White solid. Yield:** 94 mg, 82%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 7.27–7.22 (m, 4H), 7.22−7.16 (m, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, [2H\)](#page-8-0), 3.73 (s, 6H), 2.10 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 157.7, 137.3, 134.2, 130.7, 129.5, 128.6, 127.2, 125.2, 118.9, 103.9, 55.8, 55.7, 19.7.

3-Phenylpyridine, 37.⁴¹ Colorless oil. Yield: 71 mg, 92%. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 298 \text{ K})$: $\delta = 8.84 \text{ (d, } J = 2.0 \text{ Hz, 1H})$, 8.58 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.85 [\(dt,](#page-8-0) J = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.58−7.54 (m, 2H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.42−7.30 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 148.3, 137.7, 136.5, 134.2, 129.0, 128.7, 128.0, 127.0, 123.4.

3-(2,6-Difluorophenyl)pyridine, 38.⁴² Light yellow oil. Yield: 79 mg, 83%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.64 $(d, J = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.41 (dd, J = 4.8, 7.6 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 7.31−7.38 (m, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 161.3$, 150.8, 149.2, 137.6, 129.9, 125.5, 123.2, 111.9.

3-Mesitylpyridine, 39a.⁴³ Yellow oil. Yield: 86 mg, 87%. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 298 \text{ K})$: $\delta = 8.60 \text{ (d, } J = 3.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H})$, 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.50 (dt, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, [1H](#page-8-0)), 7.40−7.34 (m, 1H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 150.3, 148.0, 137.5, 137.0, 136.7, 136.2, 134.9, 128.3, 123.4, 21.0, 20.8.

2-Mesitylpyrazine, 39b.⁴⁴ Yellow oil. Yield: 95 mg, 96%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.68 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.52 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (s, 2H), [2.](#page-8-0)33 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 155.8, 145.9, 144.3, 142.4, 138.4, 136.0, 133.9, 128.5, 21.0, 20.1.

9-(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)acridine, 40.^{9b} Light yellow solid. Yield: 129 mg, 91%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.29 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48−[7.](#page-7-0)46 (m, 2H), 7.37−7.25 (m, 5H), 1.73 (s, 6H), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 149.2, 146.6, 136.9, 135.1, 130.2, 130.0, 128.5, 127.7, 126.0, 124.9, 20.1.

3-Mesityl-6-phenylpyridazine, 41.⁴⁴ White solid. Yield: 119 mg, 87%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.20–8.16 (m, 2H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 7.58−7.48 (m[, 3](#page-8-0)H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 160.6, 157.2, 138.2, 136.2, 136.0, 134.4, 129.9, 128.9,$ 128.5, 128.4, 126.9, 123.5, 21.0, 20.2.

2-Mesityl-4-methylquinoline, 42. Yellow oil. Yield: 119 mg, 91%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76−7.71 (m, 1H), 7.62−7.57 (m, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 6.97 (s, 2H), 2.75 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 160.3, 147.9, 144.2, 137.9, 137.4, 135.5, 130.0, 129.0, 128.3, 126.7, 126.0, 123.6, 123.4, 21.0, 20.1, 18.7. HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₀N 262.1596; found, 262.1579.

3-(Furan-2-yl)pyridine, 43.⁴⁵ Light yellow solid. Yield: 59 mg, 81%.
¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCL, 298 K): δ = 8.92 (c, 1H), 8.50 – 8.40 (m ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.50–8.40 (m, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1[H\)](#page-8-0), 7.52−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.74−7.72 (m, 1H), 6.74 (m, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.52–6.48 (m, 1H). MS: $m/z = 145$ $[M]^+, 116, 90.$

3-(Benzofuran-2-yl)pyridine, 44.⁴⁶ Light yellow solid. Yield: 82 mg, 84%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 9.10 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, J $= 8.0$ Hz, [1H](#page-8-0)), 8.09 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.40-7.20 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 7.09 \text{ (s, 1H)}. \text{ MS: } m/z = 195$ $[M]$ ⁺, 166, 139, 87, 69.

3-(Benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)pyridine, 45.⁴⁷ Light yellow solid. Yield: 96 mg, 91%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 298 K): δ = 9.02 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J = [8.0](#page-8-0) Hz, 1H), 8.06−7.98 (m, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56−7.48 (m, 1H), 7.46−7.36 (m, 2H). MS: $m/z = 211$ [M]⁺, 167, 139, 79.

2-(Benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)pyridine, 46.⁴⁸ Light yellow solid. Yield: 89 mg, 84%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 8.64 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.78 (m, 4H), 7.74 (td, J_1 [=](#page-8-0) 7.6 Hz, J_2 = 1.2 Hz, 1H),

7.40−7.32 (m, 2H), 7.24−7.18 (m, 1H). MS: $m/z = 211$ [M]⁺, 167, 139, 79.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-4-methylquinoline, $47.^{49}$ White solid. Yield: 89 mg, 86%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ = 8.12 (d₁ J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74−7.5[5 \(m](#page-8-0), 3H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.57 (t, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.72 (s, 3H). $MS: m/z = 209 [M]⁺, 180, 152.$

2-(Benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)-4-methylquinoline, 48. Light yellow solid, mp 132.5 −134.1 °C. Yield: 129 mg, 94%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 298 K): δ = 8.34 (s, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.96–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.78 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.38 (m, 2H), 2.76 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 298 K): δ = 151.4, 147.1, 145.1, 140.2, 129.9, 129.1, 127.2, 126.5, 125.5, 124.7, 124.4, 124.2, 123.3, 122.6, 118.3, 18.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₃NS 276.0847; found, 276.0838.

2-(Benzofuran-2-yl)pyrazine, 49. Light yellow solid, mp 81.2−82.7 $^{\circ}$ C. Yield: 71 mg, 72%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_{6} , 298 K): δ = 9.21 (s, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 8.65 (s, 1H), 7.80−7.65 (m, 3H), 7.43 (t, J $= 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO d_6 , 298 K): δ = 154.8, 152.2, 144.7, 144.1, 143.9, 141.0, 127.9, 126.0, 123.6, 122.0, 111.5, 106.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + H]⁺ calcd for C12H9N2O 197.0715; found, 197.0743.

.
2-*(Benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)pyrazine, 50.⁵⁰ Lig*ht yellow solid. Yield: 73 mg, 69%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 9.11 (s, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.96 ([s, 1](#page-8-0)H), 7.92−7.82 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.38 (m, 2H). MS: $m/z = 212$ [M]⁺, 159.

2-(Benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl)benzo[d]oxazole, 51.⁵¹ Yellow solid. Yield: 114 mg, 91%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 8.34$ $(s, 1H)$, 8.10 (d, J = 8.0 H[z, 1](#page-8-0)H), 8.04 $(s, J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.77 $(m, 2H)$, 7.58–7.32 $(m, 4H)$. MS: $m/z = 251 [M]^{+}$, 222, 159, 125.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

NMR spectra for the important compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: taotu@fudan.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no comp](mailto:taotu@fudan.edu.cn)eting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 91127041 and 21172045), the Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT1117), the Shanghai Shuguang Program (No. 11SG04) and Pujiang Program, the Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B108), and the Department of Chemistry at Fudan University is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Suzuki, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6722. (b) Modern Arylation Methods; Ackermann, L., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009; (c) Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2004; (d) Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis; Negishi, E.-I., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 2002.

(2) (a) The Chemistry of Organozinc Compounds; Rappoport, Z., Marek, I., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2006. (b) Boudier, A.; Bromm, L. O.; Lotz, M.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4414. (c) Organozinc Reagents, A Practical Approach; Knochel, P., Jones, P., Eds.; Oxford: New York, 1999. (d) Erdik, E. Organozinc Reagents in Organic Synthesis; CRC Press: Boston, 1996.

(3) (a) Jana, R.; Pathak, T. P.; Sigman, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1417. (b) Valente, C.; Çalimsiz, S.; Hoi, K. H.; Mallik, D.; Sayah, M.;

Organ, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3314. (c) Fortman, G. C.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5151. (d) Manolikakes, G.; Dong, Z.; Mayr, H.; Li, J.; Knochel, P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1324. (e) Liu, J.; Deng, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhang, H.; Yu, G.; Wu, B.; Zhang, H.; Li, Q.; Marder, T. B.; Yang, Z.; Lei, A. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2661.

(4) Dai, C.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2719.

(5) (a) Rudolph, A.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2656. (b) McGlacken, G. P.; Bateman, L. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2447.

(6) (a) Melzig, L.; Metzger, A.; Knochel, P. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2948. (b) Martin, R.; Buchwald, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1461. (c) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6338. (d) Altenhoff, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15195.

(7) (a) Han, C.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7532. (b) Manolikakes, G.; Schade, M. A.; Hernandez, C. M.; Mayr, H.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2765. (c) Sase, S.; Jaric, M.; Metzger, A.; Malakhov, V.; Knochel, P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7380. (d) Shen, Q.; Shekhar, S.; Stambuli, J. P.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1371.

(8) (a) Pompeo, M.; Froese, R. D. J.; Hadei, N.; Organ, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11354. (b) Çalimsiz, S.; Organ, M. G. Chem. Commun. 2011, 5181. (c) Çalimsiz, S.; Sayah, M.; Mallik, D.; Organ, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 2014. (d) Organ, M. G.; Avola, S.; Dubovyk, I.; Hadei, N.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; O'Brien, C. J.; Valente, C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4749.

(9) (a) Fang, W.; Jiang, J.; Xu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Tu, T. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 673. (b) Tu, T.; Sun, Z.; Fang, W.; Xu, M.; Zhou, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4250. (c) Tu, T.; Fang, W.; Jiang, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 12358. (d) Wang, Z.; Feng, X.; Fang, W.; Tu, T. Synlett 2011, 951. (e) Tu, T.; Feng, X.; Wang, Z.; Liu, X. Dalton Trans. 2010, 10598. (f) Tu, T.; Mao, H.; Herbert, C.; Xu, M.; Dötz, K. H. Chem. Commun. 2010, 7796. (g) Tu, T.; Malineni, J.; Bao, X.; Dötz, K. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1029. (h) Tu, T.; Malineni, J.; Dötz, K. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1791.

(10) (a) Tu, T.; Fang, W.; Bao, X.; Li, X.; Dötz, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6601. (b) Tu, T.; Bao, X.; Assenmacher, W.; Peterlik, H.; Daniels, J.; Dötz, K. H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1853. (c) Tu, T.; Assenmacher, W.; Peterlik, H.; Schnakenburg, G.; Dötz, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7127. (d) Tu, T.; Assenmacher, W.; Peterlik, H.; Weisbarth, R.; Nieger, M.; Dötz, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6368.

(11) (a) Dastgir, S.; Coleman, K. S.; Cowley, A. R.; Green, L. H. M. Organometallics 2010, 29, 4858. (b) Vasudevan, K. V.; Butorac, R. R.; Abernethy, C. D.; Cowley, A. H. Dalton Trans. 2010, 7401.

(12) (a) Zhu, L.; Gao, T.-T.; Shao, L.-X. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 5150. (b) Krasovskiy, A.; Lipshutz, B. H. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3822. (c) Zhou, J.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12527. (d) Inoue, S.; Yokoo, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 39, 11. (e) Inoue, S.; Furukawa, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 37, 25. (f) Inoue, S.; Imanaka, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 35, 1.

(13) Lee, D.-H.; Jin, M.-J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 252.

(14) Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4176. (15) (a) Joule, J. A.; Mills, K. Heterocyclic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 2010. (b) Leurs, R.; Bakker, R. A.; Timmerman, H.;

de Esch, I. J. P. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2005, 4, 107. (16) (a) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320. (b) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2119 and references therein.

(17) (a) Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 132, 14073. (b) Molander, G. A.; Biolatto, B. J. Org. Chem. , 68, 4302. (c) Ishiyama, T.; Ishida, K.; Miyaura, N. Tetrahedron , 57, 9813.

(18) Schnü rch, M.; Flasik, R.; Khan, A. F.; Spina, M.; Mihovilovic, M. D.; Stanetty, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 3283.

(19) Krasovskiy, A.; Malakhov, V.; Gavryushin, A.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6040.

(20) Krasovskiy, A.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2006, 5, 890.

⁽²¹⁾ Zhou, J.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1340.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **Article Article Article Article Article**

(22) van den Hoogenband, A.; Lange, J. H. M.; Terpstra, J. W.; Koch, M.; Visser, G. M.; Visser, M.; Korstanje, T. J.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 4122.

(23) Xue, F.; Zhao, J.; Hor, T. S. A. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 8935.

(24) Booth, B. L.; El-Fekky, T. A.; Noori, G. F. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 181.

(25) Batke, B.; Lauterbach, G.; Pritzkow, W.; Sebald, F.; Voerckel, V. J. Prakt. Chem. 1988, 330, 671.

(26) Gonzalez-Bobes, F.; Fu, G. C. ́ J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5360.

(27) Paschaew.; et al. Azerb. Khim. Zh. 1969, 3, 73.

(28) Dreher, S. D.; Dormer, P. G.; Sandrock, D. L.; Molander, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9257.

(29) Molander, G. A.; Colombel, V.; Braz, V. A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1852.

(30) Yeung, P. Y.; So, C. M.; Lau, C. P.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 648.

(31) Ito, S.; Fujiwara, Y.; Nakamura, E.; Nakamura, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4306.

(32) Amatore, M.; Gosmini, C. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5019.

(33) Martin-Luengo, M. A.; Yates, M.; Rojo, E. S.; Arribas, D. H.; Aguilar, D.; Hitzky, E. R. Appl. Catal. A-Gen. 2010, 387, 141.

(34) Kondolff, I.; Doucet, H.; Santelli, M. Organometallics 2006, 25, 5219.

(35) Wang, S.; Qian, Q.; Gong, H. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3352.

(36) Oslob, J. D.; Johnson, R. J.; Cai, H.; Feng, S. Q.; Hu, L.; Kosaka,

Y.; Lai, J.; Sivaraja, M.; Tep, S.; Yang, H.; Zaharia, C. A.; Evanchik, M. J.; McDowell, R. S. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 113.

(37) Yamada, Y. M. A.; Sarkar, S. M.; Uozumi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3190.

(38) Gerber, R.; Blacque, O.; Frech, C. M. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 8996.

(39) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Ishizuka, K.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11949.

(40) Diebolt, O.; Braunstein, P.; Nolan, S. P.; Cazin, C. S. J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3190.

(41) Hanhan, M. E.; Martínez-Máñez, R. M.; Ros-Lis, J. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 2388.

(42) Shang, R.; Fu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Xu, Q.; Yu, H.-Z.; Liu, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9350.

(43) Kondolff, I.; Doucet, H.; Santelli, M. Synlett 2005, 13, 2057.

(44) Organ, M. G.; Abdel-Hadi, M.; Avola, S.; Hadei, N.; Nasielski, J.; O'Brien, C. J.; Valente, C. Chem.-Eur. J. 2007, 13, 150.

(45) Verbeeck, S.; Meyers, C.; Franck, P.; Jutand, A.; Maes, B. U. W. Chem.-Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12831.

(46) Denmark, S. E.; Smith, R. C.; Chang, W.-T.; Muhuhi, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3104.

(47) Sun, L.-L.; Deng, C.-L.; Tang, R.-Y.; Zhang, X.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7546.

(48) Thomas, S. W., III; Venkatesan, K.; Müller, P.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16641.

(49) Qiang, L. G.; Baine, N. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3517.

(50) O'Brien, C. J.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; Valente, C.; Hadei, N.; Chass, G. A.; Lough, A.; Hopkinson, A. C.; Organ, M. G. Chem.-Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4743.

(51) Pottorf, R. S.; Chadha, N. K.; Katkevics, M.; Ozola, V.; Suna, E.; Ghane, H.; Regberg, T.; Player, M. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 175.